	1 (done very poorly)	2	3	4	5 (done very well)
1. Approach	Confrontational, judgmental approach		Attempts to establish rapport with the learner(s) but is either over- critical or too informal in their approach		Establishes and maintains rapport throughout; uses a non- threatening but honest approach, creating a psychologically safe environment
Establishes learning environment	Unclear expectations of the learner(s); no rules for learner(s) engagement		Explains purpose of the debriefing or learning session but does not clarify learner(s) expectations		Explains purpose of debrief and clarifies expectations and objectives from the learner(s) at the start
Engagement of learners	Purely didactic; facilitator doing all of the talking and not involving passive learner(s)		Learner(s) participates in the discussion but mostly through closed questions; facilitator not actively inviting contributions from more passive learner(s)		Encourages participation of learner(s) through use of open-ended questions; invites learner(s) to actively contribute to discussion
4. Reflection	No opportunity for self- reflection; learner(s) not asked to describe what actually happened in the scenario		Some description of events by facilitator, but with little self-reflection by learner(s)		Encourages learner(s) to self-reflect upon what happened using a step by step approach
5. Reaction	No acknowledgment of learner(s) reactions, or emotional impact of the experience		Asks the learner(s) about their feelings but does not fully explore their reaction to the event		Fully explores learner(s) reaction to the event, dealing appropriately with learner(s) who are unhappy
6. Analysis	Reasons and consequences of actions are not explored with the learner(s)		Some exploration of reasons and consequences of actions by facilitator (but not learner(s)), but no opportunity to relate to previous experience		Helps learner(s) to explore reasons and consequences of actions, identifying specific examples and relating to previous experience
7. Diagnosis	No feedback on clinical or teamwork skills; does not identify performance gaps or provide positive reinforcement		Feedback provided only on clinical (technical) skills; focuses on errors and not purely on behaviours that can be changed		Provides objective feedback on clinical (technical) and teamwork skills; identifies positive behaviours in addition to performance gaps, specifically targeting behaviours that can be changed
8. Application	No opportunity for learner(s) to identify strategies for future improvement or to consolidate key learning points		Some discussion of learning points and strategies for improvement but lack of application of this knowledge to future clinical practice		Reinforces key learning points identified by learner(s) and highlights how strategies for improvement could be applied to future clinical practice